2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	
9	SEIKO EPSON CORP. et al.,
11	Plaintiffs, )
12	vs. ) 3:16-cv-00524-RCJ-VPC
13	INKSYSTEM LLC et al.,
14	Defendants.
15	)
16 17	The Court ruled in September 2016 that only the Complaint in this case shall be sealed,
18	not the case itself or any other filings. Accordingly, the Court now denies the previous motion to
19	seal all filings in the case.
20	
21	CONCLUSION
22	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Seal (ECF No. 2) is DENIED.
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	DATED: This 13 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2017.
25	
26	ROBERT C. JONES
27	United States District Judge
28	